

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/738,127	12/15/2000	Larry B. Li	50944.9900	9821	
759	7590 05/05/2004		EXAMINER		
SCOTT A. HORSTEMEYER			DEMAKIS, JAMES A		
THOMAS, KAY	YDEN, HORSTEMEYER A PARKWAY	& RISLEYY, L.L.P.	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 1750			2836	•	
ATLANTA, GA 30339			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$-\infty$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7//			
	09/738,127	LI, LÄRRY B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James A Demakis	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed /s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	lanuary 2004					
· <u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		osecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 13-15,20 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 16-18 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 December 2000</u> is/		led to by the Exan	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			· ·			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	IO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat onty documents have been receive	ion No	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		D-152)			

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13-15, 20,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ravanelli et al (US PN 5789785) and in view of Colclaser et al (US PN 6327125).

Regarding claims 13-15,20,22:

Ravanelli et al disclose in Figure 2 an ESD protection circuit using a bipolar NPN transistor Q1in reverse mode from a pad T1 to a ground T2 for biCMOS lcs. It does not disclose that the protected circuit operates at a high frequency, above 1 GHz.

Colclaser et al disclose that biCMOS lcs require ESD protection and that they operate currently in the 1-2 GHz range and next generation RF circuits are expected to operate above 10 GHz, see 5:20-25. Also, the protection circuit can be implemented in any of the standard processes, such as bipolar, CMOS, or biCMOS; see 5:40-43.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Ravanelli et al with the teaching of

Page 3

Colclaser et al and minimize capacitive loading on the protected circuit and extend performance at higher frequencies.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-12 are allowed.
- The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: 4.

The above claims are allowed because the prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest a reverse mode transistor configured to protect a circuit between a pad and ground; and having a capacitance between the base and the emitter of about ten times less than the parasitic capacitance between the collector and the substrate...

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

5. Claims 16-18,21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed 1/30/2004, with respect to claims 1-12 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of these claims has been withdrawn.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-18,20-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2836

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A Demakis whose telephone number is 571.272.2050. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571.272.2800 ext. 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James A Demakis

BRIAN SIRCUS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800